United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

APPENDIX FOR APPELLANT

APPEAL FROM AMENDED JUDGMENT
OF CONVICTION IN THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



GUSTAVE WEISS, ESQ. Attorney for Appellant 1540 Broadway New York, New York 10036 PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

INDEX

	Page
Docket Sheets, 71 Cr. 678	Al
Report of Violation of Probation, March 11, 1974	A3
Excerpts from Hearing on Violation of Probation, October 10, 1974 (10,11,14-15,16,16-17,18,26, 28,30-31,35-36,40,50,55,56,62,65-66,68,69)	A10
Sentence, October 10, 1974	A32
Order of Violation of Probation	A49

Docket Sheets

71 Cr. 678

CKET	77		67	8_	R	AYFIEL	
	TITLE OF CA	SE				ATTORNEYS	
THE U	NITED STA	ATES			For U. S.:		
	vs.				Apptd by 1540 Bros	Court:G.We	21
RICHA	RD C. PH	ILLIPS		JU6	1-2327	-norn	-
							-
					1		
					For Defend	ant: ABRAHAN	4
						Mag Catogo	
				1		St Bklyn	
Failure to make appropr	riate ent	ries in	firear	n			
Failure to make appropr	riate ent	ries in transac			CEIVED AND DISE	BURSED	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS			tions	CASH RE	E	BURSED	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	_ _ [
ABSTRACT OF COSTS Fine, Clerk,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS Fine, Clerk, Marshal,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS Fine, Clerk, Marshal, Attorney,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS Fine, Clerk, Marshal, Attorney,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS Fine, Clerk, Marshal, Attorney, Commissioner's Court,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS Fine, Clerk, Marshal, Attorney, Commissioner's Court,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	
Fine, Clerk, Marshal, Attorney, Commissioner's Court,		transac	tions	CASH RE	E	T	

6-17-71 Information and waiver of indictment filed.
6-17-71 Before TRAVIA, J.-Case called-Deft and counsel, Abraham Nathanson presen
Waiver of Indictmet signed-Deft arraigned and after being advised of h
rights by the court and on his own behalf enters plea of guilty as char
Deft on O.R. and sentence adjd w/O date.

10-1-71 Before Rayfiel, J - Case called - Deft & counsel present - Deft
sentenced to imprisonment for 3 years - pursuant to 18, U.S.C.
Sec. 3651 - deft to serve 3 months and execution of remainder of
sentence is suspended and deft is placed on probation for 33 months.

Special codditions of probation are the deft is to continue to receive
psychiatric treatment and that he refrain from any contact with gunds.

10-1-71 Judgment and Commitment and Order of Probation filed - certified '

copies to Marshal andProbation

TICR 678

DATE	PROCEEDING 9
10-8-71	Voucher for Compensation filed
	Before RAYFIEL, J - Case called on defts motion for reduction of
	sentence imposed. Granted as follows: the period of 3 months required
	to be served by deft is reduced to 2½ months; execution of remainder
	of sentence is suspended under 18:3651 and deft remain on probation
	for the remainder of such 3 yr period under special conditions as
12	outlined in the original sentence imposed on Oct. 1, 1971.
11-21-71	Amended Judgment and Commitment and Order of Prabation filed -
	Certified copies to Marshal and Probation.
4-5-74	Refore RAYFIEL, J Case called - Deft and counsel Gustave Weiss present-
5-16-74	Case adjd to 4-16-74 at 10:00 A.M. Eefore RAYFIEL, J Case called - Deft and counsel Gustave Weiss present-
	Court finds that the deft had violated the conditions of probation and
	probation is revoked-Deft sentenced under T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 4208(b) for
	3 months examinations in government institutions, to be sentenced after
	report from such intitution
-16-74	By RAYFIEL, J Violation of probation filed
6-3-74	Certified copy of violation of probation order retd and filed- copy de-
10 10 74	livered to Federal Detention Headquarters
10-10-74	, and the december of the pressure
	Deft sentenced to 3 years imprisonment pursuant to 18:4208(a)(2), defts counsel applied for release pending appeal. Application denied.
10-10-74	Collins to hard to hard to hard the har
10/15/74	Voucher for compensation of counsel filed and Orderappointing counsel
10.16.3	Gustave Weiss, etc. '4 Notice of Appeal filed (no fee)
-10-16-74	
10/30/74	Certified copy of Violation of probation order retd and filed- copies s to Federal Detention Headquartes
11/7/74	Stenographer's transcript of Oct 10, 1974 filed.
	74 Stenographers transcript dated May al6, 1974 filed (PHILLIPS)
11/25/74	Voucher for expert services filed (transcription
	1

Report of Violation of Probation
March 11, 1974

eı .

PROBATION FORM 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK TO THE HONORABLE LEO F. RAYFIEL, ? UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE REPORT ON PROBATION VIOLATION

किन हैं हिल्ली के MX NOV 22 1974

MERKSENMENGEVÆKKKK

THE EN

Name

RICHARD CHARLES PHILLIPS

Citizenship

U.S. - Native

Address

Marital Status

Separated

10 East Drive

Age and Date of Birth 33 - 11/24/40

Brentwood, New York

Number of Dependents None

Sex

Male

Offense VIOLATION OF PROBATION

(Failure to Keep Records of

Firearms Transactions)

Race

Defense Counsel Mr. A. Nathanson

INFORMATION NO. 71-CR-678

PLEA:

On June 17, 1971, waived indictment and pleaded guilty

to one Count information before Judge Travia.

U.S. ATTORNEY:

Mr. Edward Boyd

PENALTIES

Count 1 - Title 18, United States Code, Section 922 (m)
Maximum - "\$5,000 or 5 years or both."

SENTENCE IMPOSED

Date of Sentence: October 1, 1971

Count 1

- Sentenced to three years pursuant to Section 3651; to serve three months, balance of sentence suspended and placed on 33 months probation. A Special Condition directs the defendant to continue to receive psychiatric treatment and refrain from any contact with guns. On November 12, 1971 this sentence was modified to the extent that the defendant serve 2-1/2 months under 3651 with the balance on probation and adherence to the before mentioned special conditions.

ORIGINAL OFFENSE:

Richard Charles Phillips was charged with Failing to Maintain Records While a Licensed Firearms Dealer.

SPECIFIC VIOLATION OF PROBATION:

The probationer failed to conform to the following conditions of probation as set forth in the form signed by him on October 1, 1971:

- Condition (1) "You shall refrain from violation of any law (federal, state and local). You shall get in touch immediately with your probation officer if arrested or questioned by a law-enforcement officer."
- Condition (5) "You shall notify your probation officer immediately of any change of your place of residence."
- Condition (7) "You shall report to the probation officer as directed."

Special Condition: "Refrain from dealing, possessing, or controlling gums."

As regards Condition (1), an investigation disclosed that on February 13, 1973 the above-named probationer was arrested for Offering a False Instrument for Filing. According to the arresting officer, a complaint was filed on February 18, 1973 by the probationer's fiancee, Blanche Tembrino, charging that the probationer forged her name on a marriage certificate allegedly issued to them in the Town of Babylon on February 14, 1973. The probationer voluntarily appeared at the Police Station at which time he maintained that the complainant's signature was valid. This charge was dismissed in First District Court, Hauppauge, New York on April 26, 1973. It should be noted that the probationer failed to advise our office of this arrest.

The probationer also came into conflict with authorities

when he was issued a summons on March 15, 1973 for Harassment as a violation. According to official reports, a complaint was filed by Blanche Tambrino alleging that on March 15, 1973 at 1:45 p.m. on Wood Hill Road, Huntington, New York, the probationer, who was driving a black Ford, deliberately tailgated the complainant in an effort to have her stop her vehicle. The probationer pleaded guilty to this charge on December 19, 1973 and on that same date received a conditional discharge with a directive that he discontinue all contacts with the complainant. It should be noted that the probationer did not advise our office of the guilty plea or the conditional discharge until hewas specifically questioned about the matter in our office on April 8, 1974. (It should also be noted that we received numerous telephone calls from the complainant during February, 1974 alleging the probationer is continuing to harass her. Specifically, she claims is driving pastor parking outside her house and follows her almost everywhere she goes.)

The probationer was arrested on a third occasion. Specifically, investigation disclosed that on April 24, 1973 at approximately eight o'clock p.m., Suffolk County Police attempted to stop a speeding car, later disclosed to have been driven by the probationer, who was the lone occupant in the vehicle. When the probationer refused to stop his vehicle, police gave pursuit but "lost the car" shortly after the probationer crossed into Nassau County. During the chase, the probationer's vehicle reached speeds approximating 100 miles an hour. While pursuing the vehicle, however, Suffolk County Police were able to record the vehicle's license place number and forwarded this

information to Nassau County Police. A short time later, Nassau County Police spotted the vehicle at a gas station in Farmingdale, Long Island after the car had struck two other vehicles. Upon seeing the police car, the probationer attempted to flee on foot but was apprehended a short distance away. A search of the probationer's person disclosed two fully loaded revolvers, one a 38 caliber and the other a 45 caliber. The probationer was then arrested and charged with Possession of Dangerous Weapons, Leaving the Scene of an Accident, and Reckless Endangerment. It should be noted that when Suffolk County Police recorded the probationer's license plate number during the chase, they used this information to ascertain the probationer's name and address. They then proceeded to the given address on the premise that the probationer might have returned to his home. When they entered the probationer's apartment, they found a fully loaded nine millimeter automatic revolver along with a fully loaded nine millimeter magazine, both lying on top of a portable bar. However, due to various legal irregularities, no charges were filed in Suffolk County. On April 25, 1973 bail was set at \$10,000 and on April 27, 1973 the probationer was remanded and a mental examination was ordered. He was found able to stand trial but was committed to Hock State Hospital. On September 21, 1973 the probationer pleaded guilty to Attempted Reckless Endangerment as a Class E felony and sentencing was scheduled for November 30, 1973. Sentencing was adjourned on three successive occasions with the most recent sentence date being March 22, 1974.

As regards Condition (5), the probationer was discharged from Hock Hospital on January 8, 1974. We had to rely on other

sources to obtain the probationer's address.

As regards Condition (7), the probationer was discharged from Hock State Hospital on January 8, 1974 and failed to contact our office in any manner until receiving our letter of February 5, 1974. He then appeared in our office, as directed, on February 8, 1974.

As regards the Special Condition, when the probationer was arrested April 24, 1973, referred to earlier, he had on his person a 38 caliber and 45 caliber revolver, both fully loaded. In addition, a search of his residence, where he resided alone, disclosed a fully nine millimeter automatic revolver and a fully loaded nine millimeter magazine.

ADJUSTMENT UNDER SUPERVISION:

The probationer's adjustment under supervision has left much to be desired. When placed on probation he was residing in the maternal home which at the time was located at 110 Jean Road, West Islip. However, during October, 1972 he moved to 656-A Sunrise Highway, West Babylon. He remained at this address until April, 1973 when he was admitted to a succession of state hospitals for psychiatric treatment. He was discharged on January 8, 1974 and has since resided alone at 10 East Drive, Brentwood, New York.

Regarding employment, the probationer has relied primarily on Veterans' Administration Disability Compensation in order to subsist. He began receiving payments during November, 1970 in the amount of \$116. On June 11, 1973 his psychiatric disability rating was increased

to 100 per cent and since that time, he has been receiving an increased allotment of \$516 monthly. As supplementary income between June, 1970 and February, 1973, the probationer purchased new and used items which he sold at local flea markets averaging \$250 monthly in unclaimed income. However, it should be noted that postel authorities advised our office that during 1972 and 1973, the probationer used the mails to receive these goods which aggregated \$5,678 purchased from eight different companies. He used checks drawn on fraudulent accounts to purchase these goods. In addition, he also ordered \$1,315.98 in merchandise which he did not receive. It should also be noted that the Veterans' Administration is unaware of the probationer's earnings from the flea market. Since November 15, 1973 the probationer has been attending daytime classes at Skills Unlimited, Oakdale, New York which is a New York State Rehabilitation Program.

psychiatric counseling because "my time has been taken up by my school work". Efforts were made by Hock Hospital personnel to arrange for the probationer to receive outpatient psychiatric treatment. The probationer's failure to cooperate could have serious repercussions since it is evident medical authorities feel that the probationer's condition warrants continued psychiatric treatment. Psychiatrist's diagnose the probationer as a sociopath who is unable to profit from past experiences. He is in no way psychotic. When consuming unprescribed amphetamines and barbiturates or drinking excessively, he is capable of reverting to criminal behavior. The probationer has demonstrated an irresponsible, immature, antisocial

7.

behavior throughout his period of supervision and it is felt he is unable to function appropriately in the community.

In view of the aforementioned circumstances we are bringing these matters to Your Honor's attention for whatever action you may deem necessary.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

JAMES F. HARAN CHIEF U. S. PROBATION OFFICER

Prepared by: December Mayon

jn: March 11, 1974

NOTE: Complete details regarding the circumstances of the original offense and the probationer's background may be found in the presentence report originally submitted to Your Honor on October 1, 1971.

Excerpts from Hearing on Violation of Probation

October 10, 1974

(10, 11, 14-15, 16, 16-17, 18, 26, 28, 30-31, 35-36, 40, 50, 55, 56, 62, 65-66, 68, 69)

1	Meyerson-direct 10
2	Q Does there appear a signature on this?
3	A Yes, there does.
4	Q Whose signature is that?
5	A Mr. Phillips, a probationer
6	MR. WEISS: Objection that it's Mr. Phillips
7	signature. I don't think Mr. Meyerson is qualified.
8	THE COURT: We have already the statement by
9	the probationer that he signed the original condi-
10	tions.
11	MR. WEISS: We have conceded that.
12	Q For the record, Mr. Meyerson, you stated this
13	contains the standard conditions of probation. I direct
14	your attention to Condition No. 1 as indicated on Government
15	Exhibit 2. I ask you to read it into the record.
16	A "You shall refrain from violation of any law,
17	Federal, State and Local. You shall get in touch immedi-
18	ately with your probation officer if arrested or requested
19	by a law enforcement officer."
20	MR. WEISS: If your Honor please, at this
21	point I would object to any further testimony from
22	Mr. Meyerson unless Mr. Levin-Epstein can adduce
23	the time that Mr. Meyerson was assigned to be the
24	probation officer for Mr. Phillips.
25	A April 2, 1973.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

I show you Government's Exhibit 1 for

Yes, it's in the form of the violation of

as a result of this investigation?

probation report.

Meyerson-direct

2

the record.

3

THE COURT: I will allow it.

4 5

A There is a Nassau County certified copy of the disposition on the arrest referred to April 28th, 1973.

6

Q Is that the arrest you refer to --

7

A Excuse me, April 24th, 1973.

8

Q Is that the arrest you referred to in your earlier testimony?

9

A Yes, it is.

10

11

Q Can you read for the record, Mr. Meyerson, what the certification is?

12

THE COURT: That is in evidence, is it not?

13

MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Yes.

14 15

THE COURT: Proceed.

16

A "I certify that on June 20, 1973, the Grand Jury of Nassau County filed Indictment No. 37662 accusing

...

17

Richard Phillips of the crimes -- "

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am directing your attention to the certification, "On September 20, 1973, the defendant appeared before the Honorable Judge Young and was found guilty upon his plea of the crime of reckless endangerment in the first degree, a Class E felony in satisfaction of the indictment. On March 20, 1974, Richard Phillips appeared before the Honorable Judge Young for sentence, whereupon the Court

1	Meyerson-direct 15
2	sentenced the defendant to probation, five years signed and
3	certified by Harold McConnell, Clerk."
4	Q Is the certification dated, Mr. Meyerson?
5	A Yes, April 3, 1974.
6	THE COURT: Is that all referrable to the
7	Condition No. 1?
8	MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Yes, it is.
9	THE COURT: Go ahead.
10	MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Your Honor, at this time
11	the Government has no further questions of this
12	witness, as to conditions of violation of probation.
13	THE COURT: Any of the conditions? Does
14	that include 5 and 7?
15	MR. LEVIN- EPSTEIN: Very well, your Honor.
16	BY MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN:
17	Q Mr. Meyerson, I show you Government's Exhibit
18	2 in evidence, once again and I ask you to read for the
19	record, Condition numerated No. 5.
20	A "You shall notify your probation officer
21	immediately of any change in your place of residence."
22	Q During the course of your investigation of the
23	particular case, did you draw any conclusions as to the
24	satisfaction of that condition.
25	A Yes

No, as I say, the first time I heard from him

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pital and he contacted you, when was the last time you

spoke to him or had contact with him before that?

1	Meyerson-direct 18
2	A I had no contact with him prior to that time.
3	Q Is there anything in your files or records to
4	indicate Mr. Phillips attempted to contact you?
5	A No, no, nothing.
6	Q Very well, Mr. Meyerson, you have testified
7	Mr. Phillips was discharged from the hospital on January 8,
8	1974?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you then wrote him a letter, you say?
11	A Yes.
12	Q When did he respond to that letter?
13	A The letter was sent on February 5th of 1974
14	and he responded February 7, 1974.
15	Q I direct your attention to Government's
16	Exhibit 2, in evidence. I ask you to read for the record
17	the general condition of probation, marked No. 7?
18	MR. WEISS: If your Honor please, that's the
19	letter that's already been in the record.
20	THE COURT: I will allow it.
21	MR. WEISS: Repetitive.
22	THE COURT: He's charged with having violated
23	three general conditions and they're set forth in
24	the report of Mr. Meyerson. We want some testimony
25	as to whether there was a failure to comply with

of '73.

1		Esposito-direct 28
2	DIRECT EXAMIN	NATION
3	BY MR. LEVIN-	-EPSTEIN:
4	Q	What is your occupation, Mr. Esposito?
5	А	Police officer, Nassau County.
6	Q	Where is that?
7	A	Nassau County.
8	Q	How long so employed?
9	A	Four years.
10	Q	What is your present assignment?
11	А	Assigned to radio patrol unit, 8th Precinct.
12	_ Co	Patrolman Esposito, I direct your attention
13	to April 24th	of 1974 and ask you if you were working on
14	that night?	
15	A	Yes, I was.
16	Q	What capacity?
17	A	As a radio patrol operator.
18	Q	Were you on patrol?
19	A	Yes, I was.
20	Q	Did there come a time during the night of
21	April 24, 197	4, when you received a radio message communi-
22	cation to you	r radio car?
23		MR. WEISS: Is that '74?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	Q	As a result of that radio message, did you do

involved in an automobile accident?

1	Esposito-direct 31
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q What happened then?
4	A The vehicle then would up at the curb. I radioed
5	my position to Headquarters for assistance. At that time
6	the operator of the vehicle exited the vehicle and started
7	to flee on foot.
8	Q Did he remain in your sight?
9	A Yes, he did.
10	Q Do you see that person in court today?
11	A Yes, sir, I do.
12	Q Would you please point him out.
13	A Right here (indicating).
14	THE COURT: Referring the man in the green
15	jacket?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Indicating the probationer.
18	Q Then what happened?
19	A I then pursued the subject on foot to the
20	rear of the gas station at Merritts Road and Hempstead
21	Turnpike in Farmingdale, then pursued the subject to the
22	rear of that gas station where I apprehended him.
23	Q When apprehending him, what happened then?
24	A I then held the defendant against an automo-
25	bile until assistance arrived and we then handcuffed the

1		Espos	ito-dire	ct		35	
2		MR. LEVIN-E	PSTEIN:	The case	itself	as of	
3	this me	oment.					
4		THE COURT:	I assum	e we are	expectin	g to he	ar
5	testime	ony respecti	ng its c	ontents.			
6		MR. LEVIN-E	PSTEIN:	That's co	orrect,	your	
7	Honor.						
8		MR. WEISS:	All rig	ht, with	that und	erstand	ing
9		THE CLERK:	Governm	ent's Exh	ibit 4 n	ow in	
10	evi denc	ce.					
11		(So marked)					
12	BY MR. LEVIN-	EPSTEIN:					
13	Co	Patrolman E	sposito,	upon sea	rching t	he car	
14	and finding	Governmen	t's Exhi	bit 4 in o	evidence	, did y	ou
15	open Governmen	nt's Exhibit	4?	•			
16	A	Yes, sir, I	did.				
17	Q	Did you fin	d anythi	ng inside	Governme	ent	
18	Exhibit 4?						
19	А	Yes, sir, I	did.		•		
20	Q	What did yo	u find i	nside Gove	ernment	Exhibit	
21	4?						
22	A	I found wea	pons hol	sters			
23		THE COURT:	Weapons	holster,	is that	what	
24	you sa	ld?				. ,	
25		THE WITNESS	: Weapo	ns holster	rs. The	re was	

Exhibit 4?

(So marked)

THE COURT: I assume they are marked for identification?

MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: That's correct, your Honor.

BY MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN:

I show you Government's Exhibit 5 marked for identification, Patrolman Esposito and Government's Exhibit 6, can you identify these two objects?

2 3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: The list --

THE COURT: Yes.

1		Esposito - cross 5	6
2	Γ ₀		
3	Lα	When you had him against the roof of the ca	
4		and on him, did you pat him down for a weapo	n
5	at that time?		
6	A	Yes, I did.	
	Q	Did you find a weapon?	
7	A	No, sir, I did not.	
8	Q	Then assistance came; is that correct?	
9	A	Assistance was there at the time.	
10	Q	When you found no weapon, you then handcuffe	ed
11	him; is that	correct?	
12	A	Yes, sir.	
13	Q	Behind the back or front?	
14	A	Behind his back.	
15 16	Q	You put him in your patrol car?	
	A	Yes, sir.	
17	Q	A four-door or two-door?	
18	A	Four door.	
19	Q	Is there a partition separating the back of	•
20	the car from	the front?	
21	A	No, sir, there is not.	
22	Q	it's a sedan, is it not?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	You then transported him to Levittown; is t	hat
25	correct?		
	A	Yes, sir.	

1	Esposito - cross 62
2	where you found that?
3	A Yes, sir, I did.
4	Q Where did you find it?
5	A Under the rear seat of my radio motor patrol
6	unit.
7	I'm asking you about when you handcuffed the
8	probationer, did you handcuff him in the rear or front. I
9	don't recall.
10	A Handcuffed him in the rear.
11	Q And you placed him in the car; is that correct?
12	A Yes, along with my assisting officer.
13	Q He was under observation at all times?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q Did either you or your assisting officer see
16	him remove that gun?
17	A I did not. I don't know if the officer did not
18	see him remove the gun.
19	Q In other words, you don't know whether or
20	not the gun came from Mr. Phillips, do you?
21	A At that time I could not determine, no.
22	Q Officer, did you ever testify in the Nassau
23	County Court that this .45 was found in a canvas bag?
24	Q No, sir, I did not. I did not testify in
25	Nassau County Court.

.	
1	Phillips - direct 65
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. WEISS:
4	Q Mr. Phillips, on April 24, 1973, were you ap-
5	prehended by Officer Esposito?
6	A Yes, I was.
7	Q At that time were you operating a motor vehicle
8	A Yes, I was.
9	Q Whose vehicle?
10	A Mine.
11	Q That time, did you have any guns or parts of
12	guns in your possession or in the car with you?
13	A No, I did not.
14	Q Since October 1, 1971, the date that you were
15	sentenced in this Court, have you had in your possession at
16	any time any guns, firearms, parts of firearms or ammunition?
17	A I have found a couple of parts after I moved.
18	I threw them away, small parts, like pins and things like
19	that.
20	Q Firing pins?
21	A Pins.
22	Q Could you have assembled a whole operating
23	A No way.
24	Q A complete operating firearm from the parts
25	that you disposed of?

1		Phillips - direct 66	
2	A	No.	
3		MR. WEISS: No further questions.	
4	CROSS-EXAMINA	TION	
5	BY MR. LEVIN-	EPSTEIN:	
6	Q	Mr. Phillips, is this your case?	
7	A	I had one like it. I don't know if that's the	ne
8	particular on	e I had.	
9	Q	I'll show it, Government's Exhibit 4.	
10		Is that your name appearing on it?	
11	- A	Yes.	
12	Q	Is this the case that resembles the one you	had
13	at that time?		
14	A	I had one similar to this.	
15	Q	Did you hear the testimony of Officer Esposi	to
16	when he said	he found this case in your car with the guns	
17	and the ammun	ition inside?	
18	A	I heard it.	
19	Q	Is he lying?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	, ο	He's lying. Have you ever seen Patrolman Es	posit
22	before?		
23	A	Only on the arresting date.	
24	Q	Any reason that you can think of why he would	d
25	lie?		

THE COURT: I'm going to recess for about 15 or 20 minutes, prepare a memo, following which I'll return to the Courtroom.

MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: If it please the Court, I would like to collect the weapons and evidence and return them to the custody of Officer Epstein.

MR. WEISS: I have no objection to that, your Honor. They served their purpose.

THE COURT: Yes, that includes Mr. Meyerson who is excused, if he has anything else to keep him occupied.

MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Fine, your Honor.

(Recess taken.)

(After recess.)

MR. WEISS: If your Honor please, just one point I omitted -- maybe I ought to put him on the stand.

MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: I'll stipulate.

MR. WEISS: Mr. Levin-Epstein will stipulate Mr. Phillips is receiving psychiatric treatment in Babylon, one of the terms of probation.

THE COURT: That's, I take it, on a voluntary basis.

MR. WEISS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: He's been on that kind of treatment,

both in-patient as well as out-patient, on previous occasions.

THE DEFENDANT: On this case it's voluntary.

MR. WEISS: He's currently under psychiatric care, one of the special terms of his parole.

at the hearing, it is my considered opinion, and I accordingly find the Government has sustained its burden of proving that Mr. Phillips, the petitioner herein, violated each of the three general conditions of his probation, as well as the far more importand and serious special condition; that he refrain from possessing or controlling guns.

Because of the seriousness of the violation of the special condition -- I should say particularly because of that -- I have no alternative but to revoke his probation.

Before making any final disposition of it,
having revoked the probation, I want to give you,
Mr. Weiss, an opportunity for elocution following which
I shall give Mr. Phillips an opportunity to make
any statement in his own behalf.

I call upon you now to make such statement, as you wish to make for him.

MR. WEISS: If your Honor please, I specifically

Sentence

October 10, 1974

1				
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
3	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
4	x			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :			
6	- against - : 71-CR-678			
7	RICHARD C. PHILLIPS, :			
8	Defendant. :			
9	x			
10 11	United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York			
12	October 10, 1974 2:30 o'clock a.m.			
13	2.30 O Clock a.m.			
14	Before:			
15	HONORABLE LEO F. RAYFIEL, U.S.D.J.			
16				
17	(In Chambers)			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	EMMANUEL KARR I home	2		
24	EMMANUEL KARR I hereby certify that the foregoin OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER accurate transcript from my Ographic notes in this proceeding.	st		
	tols proceeding.			

Official Court Reporter V. S. District Court

Appearances:

DAVID G. TRAGER, ESQ.
United States Attorney
for the Eastern District of New York

BY: ETHAN A. LEVIN-EPSTEIN, ESO.
Assistant United States Attorney

GUSTAVE WEISS, ESQ., Attorney for Defendant. A34

THE COURT: Are we ready to proceed now? 1 MR. WEISS: I think so, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Quite a long period of time has 3 elapsed since the proceedings in this case were com-4 5 menced and it might not be inadvisable for me to make some brief statement as to the history of this matter. 6 In June of 1961, you, Mr. Phillips waived the 7 8 right to have the proceedings presented to a Grand 9 Jury --10 MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Your Honor, pardon me, I 11 think that was in 1971, you said 1961. 12 THE COURT: 1961, 1961 is another significant 13 date, but this was 1971. 14 You then pleaded guilty to an information charg-15 ing you with violation of 922 subdivision (n) of 16 title 18 of the Code, because you then were a dealer in firearms licensed by the Government and you failed 17 18 to keep proper records of the receipt and disposition 19 and sale of the articles which you were licensed to 20 deal in. 21 In October of '71, I sentenced you under Section 22 3651 of Title 18 of the Code, the so-called split-23 sentence section, to a period of three years --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: (Continuing) -- and it was provided

25

that you be required to serve only three months of that sentence and I put you on probation for the remaining thirty-three months with the usual conditions of probation and with two special conditions of probation:

One was that you commence and continue under the supervision of the Probation Department of this Court psychiatric examinations and study as you had from time to time earlier than that:

The second special condition was that you steer clear of firearms.

About a month thereafter I reduced the time to be served provision in the sentence to the extent of two weeks, and that was at your mother's request that she would like to have you with her on Christmas day.

On March 11,1974, the Probation Department filed its report in this case charging you with having violated conditions one, five and seven of the general conditions of the probation and those two special conditions which I have referred to.

We had a hearing on that matter, and at the conclusion of the hearing I found that the Probation Department, the Government, had sustained its charges and I revoked your probation.

Under normal conditions I would have probably

25

23

sentenced you at that time but because of the fact that your presentence report contained a very lengthy history of troubles and problems that you had with respect to matters involving your emotional disturbances and other similar things, and I felt it advisable in order to acquire a more substantial amount of knowledge to assist me in the imposition of sentence in this case, so I submitted you to the custody of the Attorney General to be confined in an institution for a study of your case, psychiatrically and psychologically and physically, and to report to the Court as to what his findings were and any recommendations that the Director of Prisons might choose to make.

I have received that report, I have considered it, of course, and I am prepared now to proceed with the sentence.

However, I want to give you, Mr. Weiss, an opportunity, of course, to make any statement you wish to make in behalf of Mr. Phillips and present any mitigating factors that you think are relevant for the Court's consideration.

MR. WEISS: Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor, I have had the opportunity to read the report that was submitted you and that you made reference to, and I think it is manifestly clear to

A37 all of us that it is not a guiding virtue of parole supervision that it can weigh a situation where there is a violation of parole which is in intertwined with emotional disturbances, questions of free will or inability to act emoti cable or maturely. I noticed, incidentally, that the psychiatric report, the report that you referred to, recommends that he receive psychiatric treatment and vocational training. You may recall that I submitted or forwarded toyour Honor a letter --

THE COURT: Are you referring to Mr. Murphy's letter?

MR. WEISS: Yes, Mr. Murphy's letter, and I think it is rather a significant letter, and I don't know whether you want me to read it into the record, your Honor, because it says that he had been making significant progress both vocationally and socially while he was involved in this program with the Office of Vocational Rehabilitation of the Department of Education of the State of New York, and I think that that is a very important letter, especially the recommendation of Mr. Murphy that Mr. Phillips be continued in that program.

Your Honor, if we had in a sense a person who

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A38 7

1	is, let us say, a bank robber or who was guilty of
2	really anti-social behavior in the sense of robbing
3	and asserting and so-on, without any emotional proble
4	I wouldn't be wasting my time speaking to your Honor
5	at this point tthat is if he violated his parole.
6	What I suggest the problem we have here is
7	what are we going to do with Mr. Phillips as far as
8	he is concerned, as far as the Government is concern-
9	ed, and as far as society is concerned, and I question
10	sincerely whether the overall benefit to everyone in-
11	volved would require any sort of incarceration except
12	perhaps to be parolled to Mr. Murphy's program for
13	THE COURT: He is an official of the State
14	Courts?
15	MR. WEISS: Sir?
16	THE COURT: I say is he an officer of the State
17	Courts?
18	MR. WEISS: No, not the State Courts, he is
19	a part of the New York State Educational Department.
20	I don't know whether your Honor has the letter
21	handy.

THE COURT: I have the letter, I have the letter, and he is styled a counsellor, and whether it is the Court system or the Educational Department system, he is apparently one who was selected to advise and

consult with Mr. Phillips and who suggests the kind
of treatment which they hoped would help him in solving
his emotional problems.

MR. WEISS: I would assume that Mr. Murphy is qualified educationally to make a proper evaluation.

What is significant to me is that the letter was concurrent with and about the time, that is the evaluation of Mr. Philips, that was current with the time of the probation violation hearing, if you recall, because at that time Mr. Phillips was involved in this training program under the supervision of New York State Department of Education, that was a concurrent situation, the evaluation of Mr. Murphy was a concurrent one with the hearing on the parole violation.

THE COURT: Wasn't that as the result of conduct which came before the Court's, the State Courts on other occasions.

MR. WEISS: I am not aware of it, I cannot say officially for the record that I know, but the point was he was there and undergoing a particular program, and whether it was a State Court or a Federal Court, I think is really for purposes here immaterial because he was under supervision at that point.

It is significant to note that Mr. Murphy said

1 2

•

at that time, which was subsequent to the alleged violations of parole, that he was making, in his words, a significant progress both vocationally and socially."

Do you see that in the second paragraph?

He says he was pulled suddenly, he was pulled from our program and sent for psychological evaluation by Court action, and it is Mr. Murphy's opinion that if he were brought back into the program perhaps we can salvage Mr. Phillips.

Now, I think that is the overriding consideration at this time, can we salvage him, can we make an emotionally mature citizen out of him, can he gain full citizenship, and it is Mr. Murphy's knowledgeable guess that he can be, and I commend that for your Honor's consideration.

THE COURT: Mr. Phillips, I want to give you an opportunity to make any statement you choose to make in your own behalf.

Is there anything you wish to say to the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: I was happy to see Mr. Murphy
took time to write the letter. I think since I went,
and I think I mentioned this in Court, since I went
into psychological under Dr. Behole and subsequent to
Mr. Murphy, I have never felt better in my life under

these two men helping me overcome certain difficulties that I have had.

THE COURT: You have been in and out of State institutions on a number of occasions, and you had also taken in-patient treatment. Now something effective might have been done to help you overcome your psychiatric and psychological condition but you failed to continue, on many, many occasions you were in a number of institutions between April of 1973, and some three or four months ago, in 1974, and you kept going into institutions at that time and out of them --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I stayed in two institutions five and six a months a piece, I was in Hook's psychiatric from August -- I was there one week then I went back in from August to January, I believe it was the last week of August, they took me over there, I was there until January 8th.

THE COURT: I have some brief notes that were culled from the pre-sentence report, and that is a very, very extensive report and a very illuminating report, and also from the testimony that was adduced at the hearing that we held in your case, showing that you had been guilty on a number of occasions of bizarre conduct, which of course I cannot categorize professionally because I lac the skills in it, but which the

authorities believe was conduct which required psychological and psychiatric treatment.

THE DEFENDANT: What bizarre conduct was I found guilty of?

THE COURT: For instance, there was an incident that occurred when you were being chased by the police authorities when you were in an automobile crossing county lines that was -- well, nothing is to be gained by my repeating this, but from what is contained in the pre-sentence report some of your acts require professional attention.

You have had several periods of psychiatric maladjustment which commenced in 1961 at the time you were in the Service, and other occasions thereafter, and as recently as the dates I referred to earlier, between April 1973, and January, '74, you were admitted to a number of State hospitals for psychiatric study and you were placed on out-patient treatment which was entirely ineffective.

It possibly could have been more effective if you had followed the instructions that were given to you, but you didn't, and you failed to follow-up the treatment which was designed to help you solve your own problems.

Instead you would take unprescribed amphetamines

T2 fls 20

or barbiturates and overindulged in alcohol.

It is apparent to the authorities, and I am now referring to the authorities who conducted the study, that you are subject to emotional impulses which you appear to be unable to control.

What makes your case more difficult is the fact that you have the predilection for guns. And in the light of some of the octs that you have committed during the course of time and which are contained, incidentally, in the pre-sentence report, you have had a tendency to be assaultive, and you admitted that to the probation department, so that the possession of guns makes your case a more difficult one.

Now you have been confined for some time.

The original sentence I imposed was qualified by making it a split sentence.

I am going to commit you to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative to be confined in an institution --

(Continued on next page.)

	1	(At this point the mother of the defendant
EK:MM T2	2	exclaimed:
	3	No, please don't, your H onor.)
	4	THE COURT: Please refrain now.
	5	THE MOTHER: Why, why?
	6	THE COURT: (Continuing) to be confined in
	7	an institution to be selected by him for a period of
	8	three years.
	9	THE MOTHER: No, No, please, please don't.
	10	THE COURT: We will have to ask you to leave the
	11	room.
	12	For a period of three years.
	13	Now you will be entitled to credit, as the law
	14	provides, for all the time that you have been in custody
	15	in connection with this matter.
	16	Now I don't know whether you were confined in
	17	lieu of bail, I doubt it, but you were confined for the
	18	period of this study and you were also confined since
	19	the conclusion of that study.
	20	You will be entitled to credit for that time.
	21	I'm going to impose a sentence under section
	22	4208(a)(2) which gives the parole board the right,
	23	which it would not otherwise have, to grant you parole
	24	at any time during the course of the sentence.

If they exercise that right favorably to you,

1 your sentence imposed as of three years now will be 2 reduced to the extent of the time you will have been 3 served, at the time they exercise that right. MR. WEISS: Does he get credit for the three 5 months approximately served originally on his original 6 sentence? 7 THE COURT: He will be entitled to credit and I 8 think that is one of the provisions of 3653, with 9 which I'm sure you are familiar, for any time that he 10 has been confinced --11 MR. WEISS: I was aware, your Honor, --12 THE COURT: (Continuing) -- in connection with 13 this case. MR. WEISS: I was aware, but, your Honor, I just want it to be stated for the record and so that Mr. Phillips will know it. THE COURT: I am not calculating the amount but that can be determined very easily. MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: The Board of Parole will calculate that during the course of the processing of the defendant. THE COURT: That is my disposition of the matter. MR. WEISS: If your Honor please, I think, I believe that Mr. Phillips had a right to appeal his 25 conviction under this violation of parole.

1 I am only obligated on his behalf to file a 2 notice of appeal. 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. WEISS: Pending the determination in that 5 appeal, would your Honor parole Mr. Phillips until the 6 determination of the appeal? 7 THE COURT: Does the Government have to say any-8 thing with respect to that? 9 MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Your Honor, I believe during the course of your remarks prefatory to the dis-10 position of the sentence, the Court had summarized 11 substantially what the evaluation and recommendation 12 13 of the authorities at Lewisburg were. 14 In respect of your request, the Government would not add anything further factually to that, but the 15 Government would consider rather, or would request 16 rather that the Court in consideration of this evalua-17 tion not consider a release pending appear out rather 18 19 order a remand of this defendant. 20 MR. WEISS: May I add something to that, Judge, 21 on condition that he go under the program with Mr. 22 Murphy. 23 THE COURT: Under what? 24 MR. WEISS: That he stay under Mr. Murphy, with 25 Mr. Murphy.

. 2

THE COURT: Oh, no.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I shall append to the commitment in the case as just passed upon, a copy, a Xerox copy of the letter which you sent to me and the one received from Mr. Murphy.

IT may be that the Federal authorities may communicate with Mr. Murphy for purposes of ascertaining what program he conducted which he though was helpful to Mr. Phillips.

I certainly shall do that.

MR. WEISS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: It is rather an unusual thing but I certainly shall do it, it may help him.

I don't think I don't think in light of all that I have said about his general conduct over the years and his predilection for guns and the other facts and factors, which I am quite sure you are familiar with, Mr. Weiss, that I ought to grant him a stay of the execution of this sentence.

I think I should remand him.

If you conduct your appeal --

MR. WEISS: Diligently --

THE COURT: -- diligently --

MR. WEISS: It will be conducted diligently.

THE COURT: Then that is all.

MR. LEVIN-EPSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor. MR. WEISS: May he have a visit with his mother?

THE COURT: Oh, sure, under appropriate supervision.

Order of Violation of Probation
October 10, 1974

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

A49 U.S. DISTRICT COURT ED. N.Y

OCT 1 0 1974

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS

RICHARD C. PHILLIPS

VIOLATION OF PROBATION

71 CR 678

M'FILMED

WHEREAS, on June 17, 1971, the defendant, RICHARD C. PHILLIPS, pleaded guilty to the violation of T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 922(m), and on October 1, 1971 the defendant was sentenced to imprisonment for a period of 3 years, pursuant to T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 3651, the defendant was to serve 3 months and execution of the remainder of the sentence was suspended and the defendant was placed on probation for a period of 33months, and

On November 12, 1971, the defendant's sentence was reduced as follows: The period of 3 months required to be served by the defendant is reduced to $2\frac{1}{2}$ months, and execution of the remainder of the sentence was suspended under T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 3651, and the defendant was to remain on probation for the remainder of such 3 year period, and

The defendant having appeared in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, on May 16, 1974, on a charge of violation of conditions of probation, probation was revoked and the defendant was sentenced pursuant to T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 4208(b) for a 3 month examination in a government institution, to be sentenced after a report from such institution, and

The defendant having appeared for sentencing on October 10, 1974, with counsel, Gustave Weiss, Esq. the defendant is sentenced to imprisonment for a period of 3 years pursuant to T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 4208 (a)(2).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk deliver certified copies of this Order to the U.S. Marshal and the Probation Officer for the Eastern District of New York.

DATED: Brooklyn, New York October 10, 1974

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



JAN 3 | 11 PH '75
EAST. DIST. NY

EAST. DIST. NY